



Generalizando la Lógica de simplificaciones

P. Cordero, M. Enciso, A. Mora

Department of Applied Mathematics - University of Málaga, Spain

V. Vychodil

Dept. Computer Science - Palacky University Olomouc, Czechia



Jimena de la Frontera, Spain - November 2018

Worcloud of contents



Pablo Cordero, Manuel Enciso, Angel Mora, Vilém Vychodil: Towards Simplification Logic for Graded Attribute Implications with General Semantics. CLA 2018: 129-140





Table of Contents



1 **Classical implications**

2 Graded attribute implications

3 Algebraic framework

4 Simplification logic parameterized





Armstrong's axiomatic system

The set of all valid implications in a context satisfies the well-known Armstrong's axioms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{[Ref]} \quad \frac{A \supseteq B}{A \mapsto B}, & \text{[Augm]} \quad \frac{A \mapsto B}{AC \mapsto BC}, & \text{[Trans]} \quad \frac{A \mapsto B, B \mapsto C}{A \mapsto C}
 \end{array}$$



Armstrong, W.W.: Dependency structures of data base relationships. In: Rosenfeld, J.L., Freeman, H. (eds.) Information Processing 74: Proceedings of IFIP Congress. pp. 580–583. North Holland, Amsterdam (1974)





Simplification Logic

Axioma: $\vdash X \mapsto Y$, si $Y \subseteq X$ (Ax)

Fragmentation: $X \mapsto Y \vdash X \mapsto Y'$ if $Y' \subseteq Y$, (Frag)

Composition: $X \mapsto Y, U \mapsto V \vdash XU \mapsto YV$, (Comp)

Simplification: $X \mapsto Y, U \mapsto V \vdash (U - Y) \mapsto (V - Y)$, if $X \subseteq U, X \cap Y = \emptyset$. (Simp)



Cordero, P., Enciso, M., Mora, A., Pérez de Guzman, I.: SLFD Logic: Elimination of Data Redundancy in Knowledge Representation 2527, 141–150 (2002)



Cordero, P., Enciso, M., Mora, A., Ojeda-Aciego, M.: Computing minimal generators from implications: a logic-guided approach. In: Proc. of Concept Lattices and Applications, CLA 2012, pp. 187–198 (2012)



E. Rodríguez Lorenzo, P. Cordero, M. Enciso, Á. Mora : Canonical dichotomous direct bases. Information Sciences 376: 39-53 (2017)





- 1 Classical implications
- 2 Graded attribute implications**
- 3 Algebraic framework
- 4 Simplification logic parameterized





What happen with these formulas?

$$A \Rightarrow B,$$

where A and B are graded sets of attributes, such as

$$\{^{0.2}/y_1, y_2\} \Rightarrow \{^{0.8}/y_3\}.$$

Goal

Define general methods to characterize the semantic of different if-then rules: **using general lattice-theoretic notions and proposing more general logics to deal with these rules.**



First approach

complete residuated lattice

$\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$, where

- $\langle L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a complete lattice,
- $\otimes: L \times L \rightarrow L$ (a **multiplication**) is commutative, associative, and 1-neutral,
- $\rightarrow: L \times L \rightarrow L$ (a **residuum**):

$$a \otimes b \leq c \text{ iff } a \leq b \rightarrow c \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in L.$$

L-sets (**fuzzy sets**)

considered as maps $A: U \rightarrow L$ such that

- U is a (non-empty) universe set
- L is the set of degrees from $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$
- $A(u) =$ “degree to which u belongs to A ”

Semantic of $A \Rightarrow B$

We consider the **complete residuated lattice of L-sets in U**

$$\mathbf{L}^U = \langle L^U, \cap, \cup, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0_U, 1_U \rangle$$

Importance of subsethood

- $A \subseteq B$ iff $A(u) \leq B(u)$ for all $u \in U$ **(ordinary-style subsethood)**
- $S(A, B) = \bigwedge_{u \in U} (A(u) \rightarrow B(u))$ **(graded-style subsethood)** easy to see: $S(A, B) = 1$ iff $A \subseteq B$

$\|A \Rightarrow B\|_{I_x} = S(A, I_x) \rightarrow S(B, I_x)$ **(graded-style subsethood)** and in a context $\langle X, Y, I \rangle$ with graded attributes:

$$\|A \Rightarrow B\|_{\langle X, Y, I \rangle} = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \|A \Rightarrow B\|_{I_x}$$

Hedges

truth-stressing hedges

(idempotent) truth-stressing **hedge** on \mathbf{L} is map $*$: $L \rightarrow L$ satisfying:

$$1^* = 1, \quad a^* \leq a, \quad (a \rightarrow b)^* \leq a^* \rightarrow b^*, \quad a^{**} = a^*$$

two borderline hedges: **identity** and **globalization**.

- 1 **identity**: $a^* = a$ for all $a \in L$;
- 2 **globalization**: $a^* = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$... interpreted as “fully true”

Graded Attribute Implications using Hedges

Y ... finite set of **attributes**

Definition

Formula $A \Rightarrow B$ where $A, B \in L^Y$ is **graded (fuzzy) attribute implication**.

various way to define semantics based on

- graded object attribute incidence data (**attribute implications**)
- (ranked) data tables over domains with similarities (**functional deps.**)

Definition

Let $A, B, M \in L^Y$. The **degree** $\|A \Rightarrow B\|_M$ to which $A \Rightarrow B$ is true in M :

$$\|A \Rightarrow B\|_M = S(A, M)^* \rightarrow S(B, M).$$

Fuzzy Attributes Simplification Logic - FASL

The dependencies-implications-"if-then-rules" are expressed by formulas of the form

$$A \Rightarrow B, \quad (1)$$

where A and B are graded sets of attributes, such as

$$\{^{0.2}/y_1, y_2\} \Rightarrow \{^{0.8}/y_3\}. \quad (2)$$

FASL

Axioma: $\vdash AB \Rightarrow A$ (Ax)

Simplification: $A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D \vdash A(C - B) \Rightarrow D$, (Simp)

Multiplication: $A \Rightarrow B \vdash c^* \otimes A \Rightarrow c^* \otimes B$, (Mul)



Belohlavek, R., Cordero, P., Enciso, M., Mora, A., Vychodil, V.: Automated prover for attribute dependencies in data with grades. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 70, 51–67 (2016)



Motivation

semantics of attribute implications:

What influences the meaning of (fuzzy) attribute implications?

- L ... structure of truth degrees
- $*$... idempotent truth-stressing hedge

Q: Are there other (reasonable) and general approaches?

Armstrong-style inference systems:

What extends the fuzzy logics?

Like-Armstrong extension

- $\vdash AB \Rightarrow B$
- $A \Rightarrow B, BC \Rightarrow D \vdash A \cup C \Rightarrow D$
- $A \Rightarrow B \vdash c^* \otimes A \Rightarrow c^* \otimes B$

Q: Are there more general extensions?

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)} \text{ for any permissible } f$$

Fuzzy Attribute Simplification Logic - FASL

- $\vdash AB \Rightarrow A$
- $A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D \vdash A(C - B) \Rightarrow D$
- $A \Rightarrow B \vdash c^* \otimes A \Rightarrow c^* \otimes B$



- 1 Classical implications
- 2 Graded attribute implications
- 3 Algebraic framework**
- 4 Simplification logic parameterized





Algebraic framework

Complete coresiduated lattice

$$\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \leq, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1 \rangle$$

where

- $\langle L, \leq, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a complete lattice
- 0 is the least element
- 1 is the greatest element
- \vee and \wedge the suprema and infima respectively.
- $\langle L, \oplus, 0 \rangle$ is a commutative monoid.
- $\langle \oplus, \ominus \rangle$ satisfies the adjointness property:

For all $a, b, c \in L$, $a \leq b \oplus c$ if and only if $a \ominus b \leq c$.





Parameterizing

Parameterizations in complete lattices

Let $\mathbb{L} = \langle L, \leq \rangle$ be a complete lattice. Any set S of isotone Galois connections $\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle$ in \mathbb{L} such that $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle \in S$ is called an **L-parameterization**.

S is said to be an **L-parameterization** if it is *closed under compositions*, i.e., if $\mathbf{S} = \langle S, \circ, \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle \rangle$ is a monoid.

Motivated by...

- **universality of 1-truth:** $\|A \Rightarrow B\|_M = \bigvee \{c \in L; \|A \Rightarrow c \otimes B\|_M = 1\}$
- **$A \Rightarrow B$ is fully true:** $\|A \Rightarrow B\|_M = 1$ iff for any $c \in L$: $c^* \otimes A \subseteq M$ implies $c^* \otimes B \subseteq M$



Parameterized Inference System

Axiom: Infer $A \cup B \Rightarrow A$, for any $A, B \in L^Y$ (Ax)

Pseudotransitivity: $\frac{A \Rightarrow B, B \cup C \Rightarrow D}{A \cup C \Rightarrow D}$ for all $A, B, C, D \in L^Y$, (Pseud)

Extension: $\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)}$ for some $\langle f, g \rangle \in S$. (Ext)

considering

- $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$.
- truth-stressing **hedge** on \mathbf{L} .
- a complete residuated lattice of \mathbf{L} -sets in \mathbf{U} : $\mathbf{L}^U = \langle L^U, \cap, \cup, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0_U, 1_U \rangle$
- considering an **L-parameterization** and S a set of (lower) adjoints of isotone Galois connections.



Vychodil, V.: Parameterizing the semantics of fuzzy attribute implications by systems of isotone Galois connections. IEEE Trans. on Fuzzy Systems 24, 645–660 (2017)



Generalization	<p>Simplification Paradigm</p>	
	<p>Armstrong like</p> <p><i>Axiom:</i> Infer $A \cup B \Rightarrow A$, for any $A, B \in L^Y$</p> <p><i>Pseudotransitivity:</i> $\frac{A \Rightarrow B, B \cup C \Rightarrow D}{A \cup C \Rightarrow D}$ for all $A, B, C, D \in L^Y$,</p> <p><i>Extension:</i> $\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)}$ for some $(f, g) \in S$.</p>	Vychodil, 2017

Fuzzy	<p>FASL</p> <p>$\vdash AB \Rightarrow A$</p> <p>$A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D \vdash A(C - B) \Rightarrow D$</p> <p>$A \Rightarrow B \vdash c^* \otimes A \Rightarrow c^* \otimes B$</p>	Belohlavek, Cordero Enciso, Mora Vychodil, 2016
	<p>Armstrong like</p> <p>$\vdash AB \Rightarrow B$</p> <p>$A \Rightarrow B, BC \Rightarrow D \vdash A \cup C \Rightarrow D$</p> <p>$A \Rightarrow B \vdash c^* \otimes A \Rightarrow c^* \otimes B$</p>	Belohlavek, Vychodil

Crisp	<p>Simplification Logic</p> <p><i>Axioma:</i> $\vdash X \rightarrow Y$, si $Y \subseteq X$</p> <p><i>Fragmentation:</i> $X \rightarrow Y \vdash X \rightarrow Y'$ if $Y' \subseteq Y$,</p> <p><i>Composition:</i> $X \rightarrow Y, U \rightarrow V \vdash XU \rightarrow YV$,</p> <p><i>Simplification:</i> $X \rightarrow Y, U \rightarrow V \vdash (U - Y) \rightarrow (V - Y)$, if $X \subseteq U, X \cap Y = \emptyset$.</p>	Cordero, Enciso Guzmán, Mora
	<p>Armstrong's Axioms</p> <p>[Ref] $\frac{A \supseteq B}{A \rightarrow B}$, [Augm] $\frac{A \rightarrow B}{AC \rightarrow BC}$, [Trans] $\frac{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C}{A \rightarrow C}$</p>	Armstrong





- 1 Classical implications
- 2 Graded attribute implications
- 3 Algebraic framework
- 4 Simplification logic parameterized**





The language of the logic

The language

Given a non-empty *alphabet* Y , whose elements are named *attributes*, the set of well-formed formulas of the language is:

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \{A \Rightarrow B \mid A, B \in L^Y\}.$$





The semantic of the logic

Definition

Let Y be a non-empty set and S be an \mathbb{L} -parameterization.

An \mathbb{L} -fuzzy set $A \in L^Y$ is said to be S -additive if $\mathbf{f}(B) \subseteq A$ and $\mathbf{f}(C) \subseteq A$ imply $\mathbf{f}(B \oplus C) \subseteq A$, for all $B, C \in L^Y$ and $\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle \in S$.

Proposition

Let Y be a non-empty set and S be an \mathbb{L} -parameterization. An \mathbb{L} -fuzzy set $A \in L^Y$ is S -additive if and only if $\mathbf{g}(A) \oplus \mathbf{g}(A) = \mathbf{g}(A)$.





The semantic of the logic

Given S an \mathbb{L} -parameterization, the models of the logic are defined in terms of S -additive \mathbb{L} -sets as follows:

Model

Let $A \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{L}_Y$. An S -additive set $M \in L^Y$ is said to be a *model* for $A \Rightarrow B$, denoted by $M \models A \Rightarrow B$, if

$$\mathbf{f}(A) \subseteq M \text{ implies } \mathbf{f}(B) \subseteq M, \text{ for all } \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle \in S$$

- The set of models for $A \Rightarrow B$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}od(A \Rightarrow B)$.
- $\mathcal{M}od(\Sigma) = \bigcap_{A \Rightarrow B \in \Sigma} \mathcal{M}od(A \Rightarrow B)$.





Inference System

SL for Graded Attribute Implications

For all $A, B, C, D \in L^Y$ and $\langle f, g \rangle \in S$, the inference system consists of following axiom scheme:

Reflexivity: Infer $A \Rightarrow A$, (Ref)

together the following inference rules:

Composition: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $A \Rightarrow C$ infer $A \Rightarrow B \oplus C$, (Comp)

Simplification: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $C \Rightarrow D$ infer $A \oplus (C \ominus B) \Rightarrow D$, (Simp)

Extension: From $A \Rightarrow B$ infer $f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)$. (Ext)





SL for graded attribute implications

Derived rules

For all $A, B, C, D \in L^Y$ and $\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle \in S$, the inference system consists of following axiom scheme:

Generalized Reflexivity : $\vdash A \Rightarrow B$ when $B \subseteq A$ (GRef)

Transitivity : $A \Rightarrow B, B \Rightarrow C \vdash A \Rightarrow C$ (Tran)

Generalization : $A \Rightarrow B \vdash C \Rightarrow D$ when $A \subseteq C$ and $D \subseteq B$ (Gen)

Generalized Composition : $A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D \vdash A \cup C \Rightarrow B \oplus D$ (GComp)

Augmentation : $A \Rightarrow B \vdash A \cup C \Rightarrow B \oplus C$ (Augm)

Generalized Transitivity : $A \Rightarrow B, B \cup C \Rightarrow D \vdash A \cup C \Rightarrow D$ (GTran)





SL for graded attribute implications



Equivalences

The following equivalences hold:

$$\text{Decomposition: } \{A \Rightarrow B\} \equiv \{A \Rightarrow B \ominus A\} \quad (\text{DeEq})$$

$$\text{Composition: } \{A \Rightarrow B, A \Rightarrow C\} \equiv \{A \Rightarrow B \oplus C\} \quad (\text{CoEq})$$

$$\text{Simplification: if } A \subseteq C, \{A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D\} \equiv \{A \Rightarrow B, C \ominus B \Rightarrow D \ominus B\} \quad (\text{CoEq})$$



A Generalization...

SL for Graded Attribute Implications with General Semantics

$L = (L, \leq, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$

S be a L -parameterization

L -fuzzy sets S -additives

Reflexivity: Infer $A \Rightarrow A$,

together the following inference rules:

Composition: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $A \Rightarrow C$ infer $A \Rightarrow B \oplus C$,

Simplification: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $C \Rightarrow D$ infer $A \oplus (C-B) \Rightarrow D$,

Extension: From $A \Rightarrow B$ infer $f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)$.

A Generalization...

SL for Graded Attribute Implications with General Semantics

$L = (L, \leq, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$

S be a L -parameterization

L -fuzzy sets S -additives

Consider $\oplus = \vee$

Given a hedge $*$

L -parameterization S

$\langle f_{c^* \otimes}, g_{c^* \rightarrow} \rangle$

$$(f_{c^* \otimes}(A))(y) = c^* \otimes A(y)$$

$$(g_{c^* \rightarrow}(A))(y) = c^* \rightarrow A(y)$$

Reflexivity: Infer $A \Rightarrow A$,

together the following inference rules:

Composition: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $A \Rightarrow C$ infer $A \Rightarrow B \oplus C$,

Simplification: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $C \Rightarrow D$ infer $A \oplus (C-B) \Rightarrow D$,

Extension: From $A \Rightarrow B$ infer $f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)$.

A Generalization...

SL for Graded Attribute Implications with General Semantics

$L = (L, \leq, \oplus, \otimes, 0, 1)$

S be a L -parameterization

L -fuzzy sets S -additives

Reflexivity: Infer $A \Rightarrow A$,

together the following inference rules:

Composition: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $A \Rightarrow C$ infer $A \Rightarrow B \oplus C$,

Simplification: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $C \Rightarrow D$ infer $A \oplus (C-B) \Rightarrow D$,

Extension: From $A \Rightarrow B$ infer $f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)$.

FASL

Axioma: $\vdash AB \Rightarrow A$

Simplification: $A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D \vdash A(C - B) \Rightarrow D$,

Multiplication: $A \Rightarrow B \vdash c^* \otimes A \Rightarrow c^* \otimes B$,

Consider $\oplus = \vee$

Given a hedge $*$

L -parameterization S

$\langle f_{c^* \otimes}, g_{c^* \rightarrow} \rangle$

$(f_{c^* \otimes}(A))(y) = c^* \otimes A(y)$

$(g_{c^* \rightarrow}(A))(y) = c^* \rightarrow A(y)$

A Generalization...

SL for Graded Attribute Implications with General Semantics

$L = \langle L, \leq, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1 \rangle$

S be a L -parameterization

L -fuzzy sets S -additives

Consider $\oplus =$

Consider $\ominus =$

L -parameterization S

$f(A)(y) = \dots$

$g(A)(y) = \dots$

Reflexivity: Infer $A \Rightarrow A$,

together the following inference rules:

Composition: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $A \Rightarrow C$ infer $A \Rightarrow B \oplus C$,

Simplification: From $A \Rightarrow B$ and $C \Rightarrow D$ infer $A \oplus (C-B) \Rightarrow D$,

Extension: From $A \Rightarrow B$ infer $f(A) \Rightarrow f(B)$.

LOGIC 1

LOGIC 2

LOGIC 3





Generalizando la Lógica de simplificaciones

P. Cordero, M. Enciso, A. Mora

Department of Applied Mathematics - University of Málaga, Spain

V. Vychodil

Dept. Computer Science - Palacky University Olomouc, Czechia



Jimena de la Frontera, Spain - November 2018